## **ATTACHMENT I:**

## **PLAINTIFFS' VERDICT FORM**

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

NICOLE JENNINGS WADE, JONATHAN D. GRUNBERG, and G. TAYLOR WILSON, Plaintiffs,	Case No. 1:22-CV-01073			
V.				
L. LIN WOOD,				
Defendant.				

## PLAINTIFFS' [PROPOSED] JURY VERDICT FORM

WE THE JURY answer the questions submitted to us as follows:

1.	Have Plaintiffs proved by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant L. Lin Wood acted at least negligently in accusing them of criminal extortion?
OR	Yes. We the jury find in favor of Plaintiffs Nicole Wade, Jonathan Grunberg, and Taylor Wilson.
	No. We the jury find in favor of Defendant L. Lin Wood.

2. If "yes," as compensatory damages for defamation by libel, we the jury award to Plaintiffs the amount of \$								
	ed "yes," finding in favor of Plaintiffs with respect to Question 1, after							
	Question 2, please proceed to Questions 3 through 4 below. If you							
	"finding in favor of Defendant with respect to Question 1, this ends							
your delibera	itions, and your foreperson should sign and date this verdict form.							
	Have Plaintiffs proved by a preponderance of the evidence that they are entitled to recovery of attorneys' fees from Defendant L. Lin Wood?							
-	Yes OR No							
1	Have Plaintiffs Nicole Wade, Jonathan Grunberg, and Taylor Wilson proved by clear and convincing evidence that Defendant L. Lin Wood acted with actual malice in accusing them of criminal extortion?							
-	Yes OR No							
If you check	ed "yes," finding in favor of Plaintiffs with respect to Question 4,							
please procee	ed to Questions 5 through 7 below regarding damages. If you checked							
"no," finding	g in favor of Defendant with respect to Question 4, this ends your							
deliberations	, and your foreperson should sign and date this verdict form.							
	As presumed damages for harm to reputation, we the jury award to Plaintiffs the additional amount of \$							

	6. Have Plaintiffs proved by clear and convincing evidence that they entitled to recovery of punitive damages from Defendant L. Lin Woo								•
		Ye	s	OR		_No			
7. Have Plaintiffs proved by a preponderance of the ex Defendant L. Lin Wood had a specific intent to cause ha published the defamatory statements about Plaintiffs?									
		Ye	S	OR		_ No			
This end	ls y	our delibei	rations. Y	our forep	erson sh	ould sign	and dat	e this ver	dict
form.									
SO	O S.	AY WE A	LL.						
				For	eperson	's Signatu	ıre		
				Dat	æ:				

Defendant will submit a proposed verdict form pursuant to the Court's Order (Doc. 101).